

Approbations

I have seen the *shiur* of HaRav HaGaon R' Gershon Meltzer *Shlita* on the *Dinim* of *Tznius HaLevush* for *Nashim*. I authorize that they are correct according to the Halachah and obligate all to follow them (this includes *Bnei Chutz La'aretz* who are currently staying in Eretz Yisroel). It is worthy for every *Avreich* to learn these Halachos.

Las son Sund

Shamai Kehas Gross HaKohen

Hagaon HaRav Yisroel Ganz Shlita has given his hascama to the Hebrew version.

שאלה שנשאלה על ידי למרן ר' חיים קנייבסקי שליט"א בכ"ז ניסן תשע"ה

וזה לשון השאלה: תקנות בי"ד משמר התורה שהוקם ע"י הגרי"ש אלישיב והגר"ש ווזנר לפסוק הלכות ולתקן תקנות בדיני צניעות ולבוש הנשים והגרי"ש אמר שזה בתוקף דת יהודית, האם זה מחייב כמנהג המקום גם את בני חו"ל הגרים או נמצאים בארץ ישראל!

כן, מחייב.

תשובת מרן:

Rabbi Yitzchak Berkovits Sanhedria HaMurchevet 113/27 Jerusalem, Israel 97707 02-5813847

יצחק שמואל הלוי ברקוביץ ראש רשת הכוללים לינת הצדק סנהדרי'ה המורחבת 113/27 ירושלם ת"ו

פס"ד ירושאם ח"ו ו"ם באואוא חשש"ה

Hagaon Rav Gershon Meltzer has compiled the halachos of tznius with the clarity and accuracy that he is famous for.

It is crucial for avreichim to understand that the invanim of tznius are not mere hanhagos tovos or midos chassidus, but mandatory halacha for every bas yisroel, and they include issurim d'Oraisa and d'Rabbannan. This sefer makes that point with conviction and I am hopeful that the message will be well received.

It is of equal importance to note that the halachos of tznius are not a mere collection of rules. The principles of tznius are sensitivities and attitudes that Jewish women are expected to develop, appreciate and apply to the garb and situations of every society and generation. Much of what makes observing proper standards of tznius an issue for so many women is discomfort with the thought of conforming to regulations dictated to them without ample explanation appropriate for an intelligent, mature woman. That in addition to the fact that halacha is demanding of her to be different to so many frum women who out of ignorance keep different standards of dress and behavior. I would hope that husbands touched by this sefer will be inspired to use their skills of learning in depth to research the sugyos and reach a profound understanding of what tznius is, and share that with their wives. I would also hope that women themselves get together to discuss and develop the concepts of tznius and integrate them into their own lifestyles.

May HaShem grant us all a ruach tahara to restore the stature of Klal Yisroel as a Mamlechess Cohanim v'Goy Kadosh.

בברכת בתיבה וחתימה שובה להרב המחבר שלישישו ולכל בית ישראל

Please see the article "How to implement these guidelines at home" on page 26.

Pergas page

A Guide for Avreichim to the Halachos of Tznius

Based on a shiur by Hagaon Rav Gershon Meltzer shlita

The Importance of Studying the Laws of Tznius

The purpose of this text is to present the *halachos* of *tznius*, based on the relevant *sugyos* in the Gemara and the rulings of the *Poskim*, in a way that will make it possible for the readers to develop clear and precise knowledge of these *halachos*. Unfortunately, many people are unaware of these vital laws, and many *frum* women, even women whose husbands devote their lives to Torah study, dress in a way that violates these laws. Often, the failure to observe the laws of *tznius* properly is a result of the influence of society, and particularly the influence of close friends who are also unaware of the requirements of *halachah* on this subject. That is why it is crucial to be familiar with the sources and details of these laws; since many people practice leniencies that are *halachically* unacceptable, basing one's approach to *tznius* on the practices of others will undoubtedly lead to many such errors.

It is important to emphasize that the laws of *tznius* are just as much part of *halachah* as the laws of Shabbos, *kashrus*, and the like. We all appreciate the necessity of observing the laws of Shabbos with all of their details, and it is crucial to understand that we are equally bound by the *halachos* of *tznius*. Every detail of those laws stems from the Gemara, *Rishonim*, *Shulchan Aruch*, and other *Poskim*, and we must view all of these *halachos* as utterly inviolable. This text focuses on the actual *halachos* of *tznius*; we will not be discussing additional *chumros*.

The Gravity of the Laws of Tznius

Just how severe is the transgression of violating the laws of *tznius*? In the era of *Chazal*, if a woman violated these laws, she would be required to accept a divorce and would not be entitled to the payment of her *kesubah*. (The *Poskim* debate whether a man is required to divorce

his wife for such an offense if he forgives her and wishes to remain married.²) Even though a woman who dresses immodestly would not suffer this fate today,³ this ruling of the Gemara serves as an indication of the severity that *Chazal* associated with a breach of *tznius*.

How to Teach these Halachos at Home

While married women must be taught the *halachos* of *tznius*, it is imperative for a husband to teach these laws to his wife in a gentle and compassionate way. The husband should make sure to praise his wife for her positive qualities and to reassure her that he appreciates her. He should stress that he is addressing this issue because the *Gedolim* have called for improved observance of the laws of *tznius*, and that although many people are unaware of it, the *halachah* prohibits certain ways of dressing, even some that are widely practiced. It is advisable for a husband and wife to study the laws of *tznius* together. The husband should also be encouraging and compliment his wife for every improvement she makes, however small it may be. A man who is unsuccessful in his efforts to improve the level of *tznius* in his home should seek *daas Torah* to determine how he can achieve that goal.

The Two Categories of Halachos of Tznius

The laws of *tznius* are divided into two categories: *Das Moshe* and *Das Yehudis*. The first category, *Das Moshe*, consists of the guidelines to *tznius* that are set forth in the Torah. The second category, *Das Yehudis*, encompasses all the laws of *tznius* formulated by *Chazal*, as well as the laws that are based on *minhag*. A married woman who violated *either* of these categories of *halachos*, in earlier generations, would have been subject to divorce and would not have been entitled to payment of her *kesubah*.⁴

Covering the Hair — Mandated by the Torah

The requirement for a married woman to cover her hair is an example of *Das Moshe*, since it is a law that emerges from a *pasuk* in the Torah. This is derived by the Gemara from the *pasuk* that states that when a *sotah*, a married woman suspected of adultery, is brought to the Beis Hamikdash, the *kohen* must "uncover her head." According to the Gemara, this indicates

^{2.} עי' תוס' בסוטה (דף כה.), ורשב"א (גיטין דף פט. ד"ה מה), ובפת"ש (אה"ע סי' קטו ס"ק יג).

Nowadays, in general, married women are not forced to accept a divorce (*Rema Even HaEzer* 119:6). .3 Although the *Rema* maintains that a woman who is guilty of violating Jewish law may be divorced even against her will, Rav Moshe Feinstein rules that this does not apply in contemporary times, and a husband may not force a divorce on his wife even if she refuses to cover her hair (*Igros Moshe* 114); see his *teshuvah* for further discussion of the subject.

^{.4} שו"ע (אהע"ז סימן קט"ו ס"א וס"ד).

that only a sotah may have her hair uncovered, but any other married woman must keep her hair covered.⁵

There are three types of halachos that are included in the category of Das Yehudis:

- Prohibitions Enacted by Chazal, such as the prohibition for a woman to uncover her arms above the elbow.6
- Local Custom: The accepted ruling of all Poskim is that a person is halachically bound to follow the stringencies of the place where he lives.7 Hence, it is considered a violation of Das Yehudis for a woman to dress in a fashion that is considered immodest in her locale, even if she comes from a place where the prevailing standards of tznius were more lax. For example, if a woman grew up in a community in America where certain forms of dress were acceptable, and she now lives in Yerushalayim, she must abide by the more stringent minhagim of Yerushalayim.
- Halachos Enacted by a Beis Din or Local Leaders: The Chochmas Adam8 rules that the shivah tuvei ha'ir in any community have the power of a beis din, even if they are simply ordinary people dedicated to benefiting the public, and not great Torah scholars, and they may enact binding halachic guidelines for the benefit of society. Just as the directives of the Anshei Knesses HaGedolah and other Torah sages throughout the generations have the status of halachah, every individual must follow the dictates of the community in which he lives, unless a rule is enacted that the majority of the public cannot handle.9 In Eretz Yisrael, Rav Yosef Shalom Elyashiv and Rav Shmuel Wosner, zichronam livrachah, created the Mishmar HaTorah, a group of rabbanim who are responsible for formulating guidelines on matters of tznius, and the deci-

^{.5} עי' בית שמואל (ס' קט"ו סק"ט).

This is prohibited by Chazal even if the woman uncovers her arms only on an occasional basis, as is .6 explained in the Bais Shmuel 115:11. Although the Rashba states that the prohibition is for a woman to do this regularly, that refers to uncovering her arms in anger. A woman who allows her upper arms to be seen without reason is considered in violation of Das Yehudis even if it is merely an occasional practice. Although the halachah calls for her to be warned not to dress in that way, the warning is necessary only to deny her the kesubah payment, as is explained in Ezer MiKodesh. The act of uncovering the arms, though, is forbidden even if she has not been warned.

^{7.} אגרות משה (אהע"ז ח"א סוף סימן ס"ט), ומובא בהליכות שלמה (פ"כ ארחות הלכה הערה 22), והוא פשטות הגמ' בפסחים (נ.) ושו"ע (או"ח סי' תסח).

^{8.} חכמת אדם (כלל ק"ב סעיף א").

The rulings of such leaders on issues of tznius therefore have the same force as the rulings of Chazal, and .9 must be followed.

sions of this entity have this binding status. *Baruch Hashem*, the Mishmar HaTorah's guidelines have become increasingly accepted by the public.

In light of the above, it should be clear that every garment or sheitel must fit into all the guidelines associated with Das Yehudis in order for it to be worn.

Lifnei Iveir - Causing Another to Stumble

The *Poskim*¹⁰ teach that a woman who dresses in a way that causes people to gaze at her is in violation of the prohibition of "*lifnei iveir*," causing another person to sin. In fact, the *Midrash Tanchuma*¹¹ states that a woman may not wear certain items of jewelry in public, since those items would cause people to gaze at her, and wearing such adornments is considered a flaw in a woman's personality.

A woman may not justify dressing immodestly on the grounds that men should not look at her. Since her actions are in violation of *lifnei iveir*, the fact that others are prohibited to gaze at her is immaterial. This is clear from the *Beiur Halachah*, which states that a woman may not allow her hair to protrude from beneath her head covering, since this might cause the men who see her to experience forbidden thoughts. This is in addition to the fact that dressing in such a fashion violates both *Das Moshe* and *Das Yehudis*. Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach *zt"l*, as well as many other *Poskim*, describe a woman who dresses immodestly in public as a "bor birshus harabbim – obstacle in a public domain." There are no grounds to permit creating such a stumbling block for others.

Unfortunately, many people are not aware of this, and that ignorance often leads to deplorable breaches of *tznius*. I was once told about a supposedly "*frum*" hotel, which serves *glatt* kosher food and caters to the *frum* community, where there are separate swimming pools for men and women, but with only a partial divider separating them. When a guest once complained to the hotel staff about the egregious lack of *tznius* at the pool, the answer he received was "Who told you to look?" But there is no justification for this argument, and this hotel cannot truly be considered a "religious" establishment.

^{10.} כ"כ ברשב"ץ (כתובות ע"ב), ובהגרי"פ על הרס"ג (עשה צ"ו), וכ"כ מרן הגרזש"א זצ"ל (במכתב), וכ"ה במנח"ש כ"ז ב"לבוש כהלכתו", וכן מבואר בשו"ת מהרי"ל דיסקיו.

^{11.} מדרש תנחומא (פרשת וישלח אות ה').

^{.12} ביאור הלכה סי' עה ד"ה מחוץ לצמתן.

Covering the Body

The Mishnah Berurah¹³ and other Poskim rule that a woman is required to cover her entire body, with the exception of the parts of the body that it is the minhag to leave uncovered, such as the face, hands, and portions of the feet. It is forbidden for a woman to expose any portion of a body part that is required to be covered, even if less than a tefach (8 – 9.6 cm) is exposed. 14 In fact, most Poskim maintain that in the era of Chazal, a woman would have been subject to divorce for such an offense.

As an example of this, the Gemara¹⁵ states that a man whose wife wears a garment that is torn on the sides, in such a way that her flesh is exposed, is required by the Torah to divorce her. The Aruch¹⁶ adds that this applies not only to a garment that is torn under the arm, but to any garment that is torn in a place where it is accepted that halachah dictates that a woman must cover herself. Any flesh that can be seen through such a garment has the status of ervah, and a woman who dresses in this fashion is deemed a perutzah (indecent woman).

As a result of this halachah, it is forbidden for a woman to wear short sleeves and thereby expose her elbows. This is prohibited even on an occasional basis. 17 As noted above, since this is a law that was formulated by Chazal, it is included in the category of Das Yehudis.

The Poskim point out that garments that are fastened with buttons – such as certain housecoats, skirts, and the like - often lead to severe breaches of the laws of tznius, since a woman's flesh may become visible through the gaps between the buttons as she moves around. This would violate a law of the Gemara, which is a very grave offense. In order to avoid this, a woman should add snaps between the buttons on such garments.

Similarly, a woman should make sure to wear a shirt that is long enough to cover her back even when she bends forward.

^{.(}סי" ע"ה סק"ב). מ"ב (סי" ע"ה סק"ב).

The Gemara states (Berachos 24a) that a tefach of a woman's flesh that is supposed to be covered has the .14 halachic status of ervah. The Rema rules that if the exposed flesh is seen by a man other than the woman's husband, it has the status of ervah even if it measures less than a tefach. This ruling applies to Sephardim as well; see Ben Ish Chai Parshas Bo 8.

^{.(:}צ קדף צ:).

^{.16.} ערך פרם.

^{.6.} בית שמואל (סימן קט"ו ס"ק יא) וכמבואר לעיל הערה 6.

The *Poskim* agree unanimously that a woman's knee must always be covered, in any position. The *Mishnah Berurah*¹⁸ and other *Poskim* explain that the knee is considered halachically equivalent to the thigh, and a woman's thigh is considered an *ervah*.¹⁹

Rav Moshe Feinstein zt" l^{20} wrote that it should be publicized that a *bas Yisrael* is required to wear proper garments that do not expose any part of her knees, even when she is walking or sitting. He added that a woman may not allow her knee to be exposed even if she is wearing opaque stockings, and certainly if she is wearing stockings through which her skin can be seen. This statement was echoed by both the Steipler Gaon and Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach. Thus, the *Gedolim* unanimously prohibit a woman to expose any portion of her knee, even if it is covered by thick stockings, and even while she is sitting.

Unfortunately, a trend has recently developed for women to wear skirts that cover only a portion of their knees. As we have seen, this is absolutely forbidden; it is not merely a *chumra*, but an actual *halachah*. This prohibition should be treated with all the severity of any other prohibition, such as that of consuming nonkosher food.²¹

The Gemara states that the "shok" of a woman is considered an ervah. The Mishnah Berurah and other Poskim understand this term as a reference to the thigh (including the knee, as in the previous section). Other Poskim, though, take a more stringent view. The Chazon Ish²² expresses concern that even the lower part of a woman's leg, until the ankle, may be considered an ervah, and Rav Shmuel Wosner zt"l²³ rules with certainty that it is. Rav Wosner challenges the Mishnah Berurah's understanding, and many Poskim agree with his position, noting that the Mishnah Berurah's ruling is based on the Pri Megadim, which itself expresses some hesitation regarding his understanding. In any event, the question of whether there is a requirement to cover the entire leg is the subject of a dispute among the Poskim.

^{.(}סימן ע"ה ס"ק ב). 18

^{19.} גמ' ברכות (דף כד.).

^{.20} הובא בלבושה של תורה פמ"ד ומ"ה, ועוד ספרים.

In fact, this is an even more severe prohibition. A man is not required to divorce his wife if she sins by .21 ingesting nonkosher food; he would be required to divorce her only if she actually fed the *treif* food to him (see *Shulchan Aruch Even HaEzer* 115:1 and in the *Chelkas MiChokek* there 1). A woman who dresses in garments that expose her knees, though, would be subject to divorce during the times of *Chazal*.

^{.(}או"ח סימן ט"ז סק"ח ד"ה כ"ד).

^{.23.} שבט הלוי (ח"א סי" א").

The Required Length of a Skirt

Some Poskim estimate that it is adequate for a skirt to be long enough to reach at least ten centimeters (approximately four inches) below the knee. A skirt of that length, according to these Poskim, will cover the knees at all times, even when a woman is sitting, boarding a bus, and so forth. The Beis Din of Mishmar HaTorah and the Badatz Eidah Chareidis, however, have set stricter guidelines, requiring a woman's skirt to end no less than halfway from her knees to her ankles. The purpose of this rule is to guarantee that no woman will inadvertently allow her knees to be exposed, which is absolutely forbidden. As we have explained, these guidelines have the force of Das Yehudis, and a woman should therefore take care to dress in compliance with these regulations.

Some Gedolim require a skirt to be long enough to cover the calf as well. This is a chumra and is subject to dispute among the Poskim, and it is therefore not obligatory to follow this guideline. The requirement to cover the knee, though, is undisputed and must be followed.

Wearing Stockings

In the ultra-orthodox places in Eretz Yisrael, the minhag is for women to wear stockings and refrain from allowing their legs to be exposed, even below the knee. Thus, although there would be no prohibition to follow the Mishnah Berurah's leniency in a place where no such minhag exists, the principle of Das Yehudis obligates all women to abide by the existing custom and cover even their lower legs.

Unfortunately, a trend has developed amongst some women, in some neighborhoods in Eretz Yisrael, to ignore the local minhag and appear in public without stockings. I was told that it began in a certain neighborhood, where a few women who came to Eretz Yisrael from Chutz L'Aretz continued their practice of appearing in public without wearing stockings, whether to run to the grocery store or simply to take out the garbage. Although these women were justified in dressing in that fashion in Chutz L'Aretz, where there was no established minhag for a woman to cover her lower legs, in Eretz Yisrael a woman is absolutely obligated, based on Das Yehudis, to follow the accepted custom and wear stockings or knee socks. In addition, there is a takkanah of Beis Din Mishmar Hatorah that requires the lower leg to be covered. There can be no basis for leniency on this matter.

These women are undoubtedly unaware of the terrible repercussions of their actions. Many other women observed their behavior and felt justified in copying it. Of course, there is no justification for basing one's own behavior on the conduct of a friend, but many women made this mistake, and the practice spread from person to person and from neighborhood to neighborhood, to the point that there are women in neighborhoods (especially those populated by families that have come from Chutz L'Aretz) throughout Eretz Yisrael who dress in violation of the minhag of this country. By dressing in this fashion, these women violate a clear prohibition.

The Required Thickness of Stockings

In a place where the *minhag* is for women to wear stockings, the *Poskim* agree that the stockings must be thick enough to be clearly identifiable as such. Anyone looking at the woman should clearly see the stockings, rather than her legs. Transparent stockings, which allow the leg to be seen, do not fulfill the requirements of *tznius*.

How thick should stockings be? A man once brought a pair of stockings to Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach to ask him if they complied with the requirements of *halachah*. Rav Shlomo Zalman felt inside the stockings and said, "These are too thin." Nevertheless, Rav Shlomo Zalman never specified an exact measurement in "denier," since the required thickness of a pair of stockings varies between individuals. For a woman whose legs are thin, a thinner pair of stockings will obscure her legs, while a more heavyset woman, whose stockings become stretched when she wears them, would require a thicker pair. Rav Shlomo Zalman therefore offered a rule of thumb: A pair of stockings must be thick enough for it to be obvious to an observer that they are seeing stockings, rather than the woman's skin. Other *Gedolim* also make the same specification.

Stockings That Do Not Cover the Knee

Some women erroneously wear stockings that end below their knees. This can often be problematic, since the leg itself may be exposed if the skirt is lifted. Often, the women who make this mistake are also unaware of the requirement for the knees to be covered at all times; as a result, they tend to wear skirts that are too short, and their actual knees are then exposed. This, of course, is absolutely forbidden.

Necklines

The Borders of the Neck

Another area in which terrible mistakes are often made is the neckline of shirts, blouses, and the like.

The Poskim all agree that a woman is required to wear garments that cover the collarbone. This area, like the knee or elbow, is forbidden to be exposed. This is the ruling of both Rav Shmuel Wosner zt"l and, yibadeil l'chaim, Rav Nissim Karelitz shlita, and can be found in their published letters.²⁴ Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach likewise ruled in a letter²⁵ that "regarding the neck, one may be lenient and cover only until the collarbone, if that is the minhag of that place." Some women, though, make sure to wear garments with necklines even above the collarbone.

Because of this halachah, many women fasten the top button of their shirts or blouses. Some women and girls feel that this is awkward, though, and instead of fastening that button, they insert a safety pin just below it, to hold the sides of the garment closed. A woman who wishes to do this must take great care to ensure that the area of the collarbone is covered at all times, even when she bends forward or stretches. As we have seen, exposing this portion of the body is absolutely forbidden.

The Sides of the Neck

The sides of the neck need not be covered, but the shoulders must be covered. The "shoulder" is halachically defined as beginning at the point that the neck slopes to the side. 26 This portion of the body is required to be covered. Hence, a woman must close her blouse in such a way that it will cover both the collarbone in front and the shoulders on the sides.

It is sometimes difficult to determine exactly where the neck ends and the shoulders begin. Rabbanim have therefore advised that a woman determine this based on where a necklace lies on the sides of her neck. The entire area past the necklace on either side should be assumed to be considered part of the shoulders, which must be covered.

The Back of the Neck

There is a dispute among the Poskim regarding whether the first vertebra of the spine must

^{.24.} הובא בספר לבושה של תורה" עמוד ת"ל, ועעי"ש בעמ' שפ"ג, ועוד מקומות.

^{.25} הובא בהליכות שלמה.

^{.26.} לבושה של תורה סימן ל"ו אות ה', ובסל"ה אות ד'. וכן הובא בספרים אחרים של פוסקי זמנינו.

be covered, or it is sufficient for the back of a blouse or shirt to reach the second vertebra. The *Beis Din* of Mishmar HaTorah maintains that even the first vertebra must be covered.

Garments with Buttons in the Back

A garment that closes with buttons in the back may be problematic, since skin may be exposed between the buttons when the wearer bends down or stretches. Even if the buttons are closed, since there are gaps between the buttons, such a garment may compromise the wearer's *tznius*.

Covering the Lower Arm

Some women wear only long sleeves that cover their entire arms, down to their wrists. This is a *chumra*, and the purpose of this guide is not to discuss added stringencies. As noted above, though, all *Poskim* agree that the upper arm, *including* the elbow, must always be covered.

Revealing the form

Tight-Fitting Garments

In the year 5767, a number of major gatherings were held in Eretz Yisrael, with the attendance of the Gedolei Hador, where it was announced that any garment that is tight-fitting and emphasizes the shape of a woman's body even slightly is considered in the category of abizraihu d'giluy arayos (encompassed by the prohibition of giluy arayos).27 This statement was made in writing many times by both Rav Elyashiv and Rav Wosner. (In fact, the sefer Ko Somar L'Beis Yaakov, authored by the Belzer Rebbe zt"l, is quoted by Rav Moshe Sternbuch shlita²⁸ as stating that any exposure of hair or skin that should be covered, or any other form of immodesty in general, is deemed abizraihu d'giluy arayos.) Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach²⁹ also ruled that such garments may not be worn.

The prohibition to emphasize the shape of the body can be seen in the Gemara's statement,30 which is quoted by the Shulchan Aruch,31 that it is forbidden for a man to walk behind a woman. The Radvaz explains that it is prohibited to walk behind a woman even if she is dressed modestly, since a woman's movements while she is walking tend to cause the form of her body to be revealed even through her garments. Of course, a woman is permitted to walk in the street, and it is the responsibility of men to refrain from walking behind women. But a woman is prohibited to wear a garment that will draw the attention of men; this would be a violation of lifnei iveir, in addition to violating the rulings of many Gedolim.

The prohibition to wear tight-fitting garments applies to any portion of the body that is required to be covered. Unfortunately, some women make the mistake of wearing garments made out of tricot, lycra, and other thin or clingy materials that tend to reveal the shape of the body. This is forbidden. Likewise, sleeves that cling to the upper arms are forbidden.

Regardless of whether a garment reveals the shape of the body because of the material or style or because of its size, it is prohibited to wear it.

^{.27.} ועי ברמ"א יור"ד קנ"ז ס"א, ובש"ך שם ס"ק י'. וב"ש באהע"ז סימן כ' סק"א. ובדגול מרבבה בסוף יור"ד סימן קצ"ה.

^{.28.} תשובות והנהגות ח"א סימן קל"ו.

^{.29} מנחת שלמה (ח"ג סק"ג אות טו).

^{.30} קידושין דף פא.

[.]א"ס סכ"א ס"א. 31

It is absolutely forbidden to wear a garment that is transparent.³² The *Ben Ish Chai* states³³ that this prohibition applies even if only an inner garment is visible through it.

(This ruling is based on a *halachah* cited in the laws of *aveilus*. The *Shulchan Aruch* rules that a woman may not tear *keriah* in the presence of men, since she will thereby expose the garment beneath her outer garment.³⁴ The *Shach*³⁵ and *Bach* both explain that even though the woman's flesh will not be exposed, it is considered immodest for her to merely expose the garment beneath.)

Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach adds that even a garment that is transparent only when exposed to sunlight may not be worn.

Garments Made of Thin or Lacy Material

Some women's garments are designed to attract attention by being made of either a lacy material, with small openings through which one can see what is beneath the garment, or a very thin material, such as chiffon. The designers of these garments intend for them to be eye-catching; sometimes, these styles make the casual observer tempted to look at the wearer more closely in order to get a better idea of what he is seeing. The *halachah* prohibits such garments, unless a different garment is worn underneath them, and they do not attract undue attention.

If a woman owns a garment of this sort, she may sell it to a non-Jewish woman, provided that she knows that the non-Jew will keep it for herself. It is forbidden, though, to enable another Jewish woman to wear such a garment (unless it is worn over a different garment and does not attract undue attention); therefore, it may not be sold to a Jew, even if the Jew could purchase the same garment elsewhere.³⁶

^{.32} מג"א סימן ע"ה ומשנה ברורה שם, וכל הפוסקים.

^{.33.} חוקי הנשים (פרק יז).

^{.(}יו"ד סי' ש"מ). 34

^{.35.} ש"ך (ס"ק כ"ב).

If such a garment cannot be obtained elsewhere, it is prohibited *mid'oraisa* to sell it to a Jew. If it is available .36 elsewhere, it is still prohibited *miderabanan*, since selling it to a Jew constitutes an act of assisting others to violate prohibitions (*Tosafos*, *Rash* and *Ran* in *Shabbos* 3a, and in the *Mishnah Berurah* 347:7, and in the *Bach*).

Covering the Hair

It is prohibited mid'oraisa for a married woman to appear in public with her hair uncovered. Baruch Hashem, it is uncommon today for women to appear in public without a hair covering.

Hair that Protrudes from Beneath a Head Covering

The Rema³⁷rules leniently that hair that protrudes from beneath a woman's head covering may be left that way, since it is often very difficult to keep all of the hair tucked beneath the head covering. The Mishnah Berurah and Magen Avraham both state that although one may rely on the Rema's ruling, it is advisable for a woman to make the extra effort to tuck all of her hair into her head covering. They quote the Zohar Hakadosh on Parshas Nasso, which states that a woman should prevent hair from protruding from her head covering even while she is in her own home. The Zohar warns if a woman allows her hair to be seen, even in her own home, it may cause the family to experience financial difficulties, as well as difficulties with their children. In the words of the Zohar, quoted by the Mishnah Berurah, "the sitra achara will reign in the home."

The Zohar adds that if a woman takes care to keep all of her hair covered, even in her own home, she will be blessed with the fulfillment of the pasuk, "Your sons will be like olive shoots around your table"; she will have extraordinary nachas from her children, and her husband, too, will be blessed.

There was once an avreich in the Mirrer Yeshiva who was childless for a number of years. I told him about the Zohar's statement, and his wife began taking great care to keep her hair fully covered at all times, even in their home. Three weeks later, he informed me that his wife was expecting. They have been blessed with more children since that time.

A woman who covers her hair with a kerchief should be particularly vigilant about this, since it is common for hair to protrude from beneath a kerchief.

A woman is not required to shave or cover hairs that grow on her face or neck.³⁸ The requirement to cover her hair applies only to the hair on the front, sides, or back of the head.

The Chasam Sofer maintains that a woman must wear a double head covering to cover her hair outside her home: She should wear one covering to keep the hair in place, and a second covering to prevent it from being visible to others.³⁹

Rav Moshe Feinstein,⁴⁰ however, maintains that the essential *halachah* does not follow the Chasam Sofer's view, and that a double covering is unnecessary if the hair is completely covered. While he disagrees with the Chasam Sofer, he does maintain that the stringency should be followed *lechatchilah*; nevertheless, it is not required by the strict *halachah*.

Sheitels

Many of us are aware that some authorities maintain that it is not correct for a woman to wear a *sheitel*. Nevertheless, many *Poskim*,⁴¹ following the view of *Shiltei Gibborim*,⁴² maintain that it is permissible. Hence, the basic *halachah* permits wearing a *sheitel*. The wives of many *Gedolim* wore *sheitels*, and most women today have this custom.

It is important to note, however, that many *sheitels* are in fact prohibited to wear. Before wearing a *sheitel*, a woman must determine whether that particular *sheitel* meets the halachic criteria for permissibility, as we will explain in the following sections.

Unkempt Hair and Long Sheitels

The Magen Avraham⁴³ states that even an unmarried woman, who is not required to cover her hair, should not appear in public with her hair unkempt.⁴⁴ Based on the Magen Avraham's ruling, a sheitel that appears unkempt is considered immodest, and it is prohibited to wear such a sheitel.

Rashi⁴⁵ states that if a woman's hair rests on her shoulders, it is considered unkempt. From this, the *Poskim* derive that a *sheitel* that is long enough for the hair to rest on the shoulders may not be worn. Hence, a *sheitel* should be shorter than this length.⁴⁶

^{.(}וכן עי' ברמב"ם אישות כ"ד י"ד, ושו"ע אהע"ז קט"ו ס"ב, והביאור הלכה הנ"ל הביאם).

^{.40} אג"מ (אהע"ז ח"א סי" נח).

^{.41} בספר משבצות זהב (עמ' קנא-קנה) מסוכם בקצרה כל השיטות והטעמים.

^{.42} שבת בפרק במה אשה, על המשנה יוצאת אשה וכו' ובפאה נכרית לחצר.

^{.43} מ"ב (סימן עה סק"יב).

^{.44.} וכפירוש רש"י שם במדבר פ"ה פי"ח.

^{.45.} ריש פרק שני דכתובות (ד"ה וראשה פרוע).

A sheitel that is as short as a man's hair, however, may not be worn, due to the prohibition for a woman .46 to wear men's garments.

Some authorities disagree with the Magen Avraham and maintain that it is not prohibited for an unmarried woman to appear in public with her hair unkempt. 47 Rav Wosner states, 48 however, that the time-honored minhag Yisrael is for unmarried women to wear their hair in a ponytail, in order to avoid appearing disheveled. This is the common practice, and married women should take even greater care to see to it that their sheitels appear neat and orderly. Since all of the Gedolim (Rav Elyashiv, Rav Wosner, Rav Nissim Karelitz, and others) have ruled that long or unkempt sheitels are prohibited, one may not even rely on the authorities who disagree with the Magen Avraham. The consensus of these Gedolim is sufficient for it to be forbidden to wear such sheitels.

The Maharil Diskin⁴⁹ adds an important qualification to the view of the Shiltei Gibborim that permits wearing sheitels: Even though the Shiltei Gibborim maintains that a woman may wear a wig to cover her hair, this is true only if it can be clearly discerned that the sheitel is not her actual hair. There are several reasons for this: First, if a sheitel appears to be the woman's actual hair, it is forbidden due to maris ayin, since it may lead others to believe that she is appearing in public with her hair uncovered. Second, if a woman does not appear to be covering her hair, this might cause men to have improper thoughts. Third, even if a sheitel that is not obviously a wig could be considered a valid head covering, a married woman may not wear a sheitel that makes her indistinguishable from a woman who is not married. Rav Shlomo Zalman Auerbach⁵⁰ likewise rules that it is forbidden to wear a sheitel that makes a married woman indistinguishable from an unmarried girl; rather, he states, it must be easy to discern that a sheitel is not the woman's actual hair. This is agreed by all of the Gedolim.

Although Rav Moshe Feinstein⁵¹ posits in a teshuvah that the issue of maris ayin does not apply to sheitels, that teshuvah was written in the year 5722 (1962), when the sheitels that were typically worn were very different from those that exist today. There is no evidence that Rav Moshe's opinion would apply to today's sheitels, for several reasons.

In his teshuvah, Rav Moshe disagrees with the Maharil Diskin and maintains that wearing a sheitel does not present a concern of maris ayin, since we do not find that the concept of maris ayin applies to these matters. Rav Moshe also states that it is obvious with most, if not all, sheitels that they are not the actual hair of the woman wearing them. Today, the second line of reasoning often does not apply, for many sheitels are made to resemble the woman's hair so closely that it is virtually impossible to tell that they are wigs. Furthermore, even though Rav

^{.47} עי' מ"ב סי' ע"ה ס"ק י"ב.

^{.48.} שה"ל בהקדמה לחלק א', ובח"ו קצ"ט, ובח"ה קצ"ט.

^{.49.} שו"ת מהרי"ל דיסקין, קונטרס אחרון ר"ג.

^{.50} הובא בהליכות שלמה פ"כ בדבר הלכה סוס"ק י"ב.

^{.51} אגרות משה ח"ב סי" י"ב.

Moshe maintains that the issue of *maris ayin* does not apply to *sheitels*, he does not disagree with the premise of the *Pri Megadim*⁵² that a *sheitel*is permitted because it does not arouse improper thoughts. The fact that it is at all permissible to wear a *sheitel*, according to the *Mishnah Berurah*, is based on this *Pri Megadim*, and it therefore stands to reason that a *sheitel* is permitted only if it conforms to this specification. Today, unfortunately, many *sheitels* are styled in a manner that attracts attention and may cause others to have inappropriate thoughts, and there is no basis for assuming that Rav Moshe would have permitted any such *sheitel*.

In addition, we have already seen that in a community where the local *rabbanim* rule that a particular stringency must be practiced, their ruling obligates all the local residents to follow that stringency. Hence, in Eretz Yisrael, where the *Gedolim* forbid wearing *sheitels* that *appear* natural, and the *Beis Din* of Mishmar HaTorah has issued a ruling to this effect, such *sheitels* are absolutely, unquestionably forbidden.

Unfortunately, *sheitel* manufacturers today tend to invest great effort in producing *sheitels* that appear more and more realistic, and their *sheitels* are often impossible to distinguish from real hair. Being ignorant of the *halachah*, many people are willing to pay thousands of dollars to purchase these *sheitels*, which are completely forbidden. Moreover, the *sheitels* are often styled in a way that attracts attention, which runs completely counter to the intent of the *halachah* requiring a woman to cover her hair. This is yet another reason for these *sheitels* to be considered absolutely prohibited.

Attracting Attention

It is a violation of Das Yehudis for a woman to conduct herself in a lowly fashion typical of the culture of the street. An example of this would be the case of a woman who sits outdoors, eating a falafel with one hand while laughing into a cell phone held in her other hand, and speaks in such a loud voice that every word can be heard on the other side of the street. This type of behavior, or anything like it, is unbefitting for a Jewish woman.

The Gemara⁵³ cites a *Tannaic* dispute regarding whether a husband must divorce his wife⁵⁴ if she eats in the marketplace. The Rashba and other meforshim explain that this dispute is only regarding whether the husband is obligated to divorce her, but there is no question that such behavior is immodest and improper. Any behavior that cheapens the woman or conforms to the lowly styles of the street is a violation of the principles of tznius.

The Message of "Please Look at Me"

Some women, unfortunately, suffer from an inner need to dress, wear makeup, and put on sheitels in a fashion that will attract the attention and admiration of those who see them. With their attire and appearance, they broadcast the message, "Please look at me!" This is a terribly degrading way for a woman to dress, and when a woman endeavors to attract attention in this fashion, it actually stems from a feeling of worthlessness. One should pity a Jewish woman who feels the need to demean her own holy, precious soul in this fashion.

The Navi⁵⁵ teaches us, in fact, that this type of behavior was the cause of the destruction of the Beis Hamikdash. The Radak explains that in the years prior to the Churban, women wore shoes with bells, which made noise when they walked, in order to attract the attention of others. Even such a small tinkling sound, when it was made with the intent of attracting attention, was considered a severe enough offense to warrant the destruction of the Beis Hamikdash.

The Rema rules,56 in accordance with the opinion of the Maharik, that the prohibition of chukos hagoyim (observing non-Jewish customs) makes it prohibited to wear a garment or other item that there is no logical reason to wear, if one's intent in wearing it is to emulate the

^{.53} גיטין דף פ"ט.

As noted above, this applied only during the times of Chazal. .54

^{.55} ישעיה פרק ג'.

^{.56.} רמ"א (יו"ד סימן קע"ח ס"א).

Gentiles who dress in that fashion. According to the Maharik, if a garment is generally not worn by Jewish women because it is slightly immodest or it gives off a slight air of haughtiness, it is a violation of the prohibition of chukos hagoyim for a women to wear it.⁵⁷ Consequently, a woman may not wear an item that does not have a frum "look," even if it is not actually immodest, since it is considered a violation of chukos hagoyim.

I was once astonished to see an avreich whom I know, who is a dedicated masmid, walking in the street with a woman who appeared to be irreligious. When someone informed me that the woman was his wife, I was even more shocked. This woman was dressed in a lowly fashion that was demeaning to her, which is not at all befitting for a Jewish woman. Das Yehudis requires a Jewish woman to dress in the same manner as other modest bnos Yisrael; copying the lowly trends of the street is forbidden.

The Shach⁵⁸ rules that red garments are prohibited by the law of chukos hagoyim. The Poskim add⁵⁹ that the same prohibition applies to other bright, conspicuous colors. Rav Wosner rules⁶⁰ that the color maroon is permissible, unless bnos Yisrael have a tendency to avoid

A woman who wears perfume should not wear such a great quantity that the fragrance will be detectable from afar; this is forbidden, since the fragrance of the perfume might attract attention. In addition to the prohibition of chukos hagoyim, as was explained above, a woman who wears perfume in this way also transgresses the prohibition of lifnei iveir, since the Mishnah Berurah rules⁶¹ that a man is forbidden to smell a woman's perfume. Just as a woman cannot excuse herself for dressing immodestly by arguing that a man has the responsibility not to look at her, it is also not a valid argument that a man should avoid inhaling her perfume.

See Igros Moshe (Yoreh Dayah chelek 1, siman 81) regarding the exact parameters of this halachah. .57

^{.58} ש"ך (יו"ד סימן קע"ח).

This ruling appears in TeShuvos VeHanhagos (chelek 1, 136, and see also Shevet HaLaivy chelek 6, 24:2) .59 and in Halichos Shlomo, and in letters of other Gedolim.

^{.60} שה"ל (ח"ו כ"ד ב').

^{.61} מ"ב (סימן רי"ז ס"ק ט"ז).

A Brief Review: Guidelines for Purchasing Modest Clothing

Based on what we have learned, the following guidelines should be kept in mind when purchasing clothing, in order to make sure that the garments adhere to the laws of tznius:

- The flesh should not be exposed. A woman must wear garments that close sufficiently around the neck, that cover her knees at all times, and that do not expose her elbows or upper arms. If a garment has buttons, she should make sure that no skin will be exposed through the gaps between the buttons.
- The garment should not reveal the shape of the body. She should make sure that the garment is not too tight or clingy, whether because of its size, its design, or the material from which it is made.
- The garment should not attract attention. It should not be a garment that is reminiscent of "the street," and it should not be transparent.

Many women innocently rely on the sales staff in stores to tell them whether a garment is appropriate; however, salesladies are often unaware of these halachos or are biased by the desire to make a sale. In general, a customer should not trust a saleslady to confirm that a garment conforms to all the standards of tznius. (This is especially true regarding the tightness of a garment; one should not rely on a saleswoman's judgment that a garment is not excessively tight-fitting.)

Teaching Modesty to Girls

The Age at Which Girls Should Be Trained

Parents are obligated to train their daughters to observe the laws of *tznius*. The *Beiur Halachah* states⁶² that the *halachos* regarding the proper covering of the body apply to a girl as soon as she reaches the age of three. This was also the ruling of Rav Yosef Chaim Sonnenfeld, who was the *av beis din* of Yerushalayim.⁶³ The Chazon Ish⁶⁴ disagrees with the *Beiur Halachah* and maintains that the *halachos* apply only when a girl reaches the stage of physical maturity at which her appearance might arouse improper thoughts in men.⁶⁵ This occurs at the point that a man will perceive a difference between the girl and a male child. According to some authorities, this stage begins when a girl is about six or seven years old (depending on her height).

This discussion has bearing on the question of when parents should begin training their daughters to cover themselves properly, and at what age girls must begin wearing tights or knee socks. It is best to follow the view of the *Poskim* who require this for a three-year-old girl, but at the very least, one should begin training a girl at the age of six or seven.

Unfortunately, many parents tend to neglect this important area of *chinuch* at times. Many girls jump rope while wearing short skirts, exposing their entire legs with each jump, while their parents do not reprove them. A parent has a responsibility to train his daughters not to transgress prohibitions.

Rav Wosner writes⁶⁶ that the obligation to train a girl not to wear red garments is just as significant as the obligation to train her to keep Shabbos, or the requirement to send her to a good school. In fact, the most important part of a girl's *chinuch* is for her to learn *tznius*!

^{.62} באה"ל (סימן ע"ה).

^{.63} שו"ת שלמת חיים (ח"ד סי" א').

^{.64} חזו"א (טז, ח).

Some maintain, though, that the Chazon Ish actually agrees with the *Beiur Halachah* that a girl must .65 be trained to dress modestly beginning at age three, and that he merely maintains that the prohibition

to recite sacred texts in the presence of an immodestly dressed girl does not apply at that age. According to the *Beiur Halachah*, it seems that in addition to the issue of the girl's appearance arousing inappropriate thoughts, the requirements of *tznius* are also set in place in order to prevent improper *actions*. Hence, these laws take effect at the age of three, when a girl develops the physiological capacity for intimacy.

^{66.} שה"ל ח"ו כ"ד

Pride, Not Pressure

The way to teach a child tznius is not to pressure her to be modest. Many people make the mistake of trying to inculcate these values through pressure, but the proper way to cultivate tznius is to teach a girl to take pride in her modesty. Some families celebrate their daughters' third birthdays with a party, at which the girl is given candies to distribute to her siblings and is told that she is celebrating becoming a "big girl," who is old enough to wear tights. That is a wonderful way to convey this attitude to a child at the very outset.

The Torah's ways are "darkei noam" ("ways of pleasantness"), and it is crucial for a husband and father to teach his family the laws of tznius in this fashion. Girls should learn that the Torah is sweeter than honey and that modesty is a source of pride; they should not submit to the guidelines of tznius out of intimidation or fear. In the merit of observing these halachos properly, may we experience the final redemption soon.

This segment was written on the request of Rabbi Yitzchok Berkowitz

How to Implement These Guidelines at Home

have been asked to offer some advice as to how an *avreich* can implement these *hala-chos* in his home.

The truth is that the *rav* himself addresses this question, in his terse style, in the pages of this work. Here are some basic guidelines:

The ideal, of course, is for everyone to begin observing all the *halachos* immediately. However, since the observance of *tznius* is unfortunately not as it should be, many women will not be convinced upon learning these *halachos* to take on their observance right away. Therefore, a husband will need *siyata d'shmaya* in order to promote the observance of these laws, and must be very cautious in how he does so.

Let us begin by focusing on what should not be done:

- 1. A woman must never feel that her husband is dissatisfied with her. Such a feeling may be damaging to the couple's *shalom bayis*. Any desire that the husband has to improve the observance of *tznius* must be expressed as a desire for his wife's benefit, and as part of an effort to strengthen the bond of affection between them.
- 2. It is very important for a woman to feel that her husband understands her challenges. If she feels that he does not understand the difficulty she faces in taking on these halachos, he will have very little influence on her, and anything he says on the subject will fall on deaf ears. She will feel that his expectations are not suited to her abilities, and that he doesn't truly understand her.
- 3. Any suggestion the husband makes for an improvement in this area must take his wife's true abilities into consideration. Therefore, a young married man who is not completely familiar with his wife's strengths and difficulties would be well advised to consult with a *talmid chacham* on every detail, rather than take the chance of causing even the slightest damage. This is especially true considering that a wife will listen to her husband only if she feels that he truly cares about her and is interested in her benefit, rather than merely seeking to impose his will on her. (This is of particular concern if the husband *has* imposed his will on her in the past in the area of *tznius*). Often, a young wife at the beginning of her marriage doesn't yet fully sense this.

A husband who wishes to improve the observance of *tznius* in his home must recognize that *tznius* is not simply a collection of laws. On the contrary, *tzinus* is something that must become part of his wife's personality. Hashem made it part of a woman's nature, but our

modern world has managed, to a certain extent, to erode this natural trait. Nevertheless, this erosion is solely superficial; inwardly, every woman still has a natural tendency to be tzanua. The female personality resists standing out, whether through her appearance or through her conduct, and every woman is naturally averse to exposing those parts of the body that should remain concealed.

Strengthening the observance of tznius in the home must go hand in hand with cultivating the attribute of tznius as one of the wife's character traits. With this approach, she will not feel that she is being forced to observe rules that are foreign to her; instead, she will feel that the rules are truly in keeping with her personality and feelings.

If a woman is interested, she can learn the halachos of tznius together with her husband. If it is feasible, the girls in the family can also be included.

When a person is asked to make a change that is difficult for him, his yetzer hara will often try to convince him that the change isn't actually required. It is much easier for a person to make a change if he feels that it is truly required of him. Therefore, a husband must make it clear, both to himself and to his wife, that observing these guidelines of tznius is a true necessity. It must be made clear that one cannot assume, simply because everyone else dresses a certain way, that there are rabbanim who permit that mode of dress, and that one cannot rely on rumors that a particular rav ruled that something is permitted.

The purpose of this shiur from Rav Gershon Meltzer shlita is to set forth the fundamental halachic requirements of tznius, including the sources in the Gemara and poskim for every detail of the laws, and to make it clear that these guidelines are agreed upon unanimously by all the gedolei hador.

Nevertheless, we must recognize the tremendous social discomfort that a woman is likely to experience from adhering to these guidelines, no matter how much she desires to do so. Imagine how we would feel if someone were to prove to us, based on indisputable evidence from the Gemara and poskim, that we are required to wear shtreimels even on a weekday. Even if we were absolutely convinced that it was necessary, we would find it very difficult to do so, since we would be the only ones doing it. Sadly, the subject of tznius is often neglected or belittled, and a woman seeking to improve her own tznius may sometimes feel that dressing properly makes her look strange. It is therefore recommended that a woman make these changes gradually, in stages, first taking on the things that are easier for her.

There are also certain times when it is easier to make changes. These times can be a good opportunity for progress in this area.

I once heard a suggestion from an adam gadol regarding how to institute changes in the home when there is likely to be opposition. He suggested that the husband should begin by mentioning the subject several times and speaking positively of the idea of an improvement in tznius, without advocating actual change. At first, the husband's objective should be merely for his wife to get used to hearing the idea. After a while, she will have grown acclimated to the concept, and it will be much easier to make an actual change.

A husband should show appreciation of every change that his wife makes, even the smallest change. This will give her the strength and conviction she needs to continue growing.

I also once heard from one of the *gedolei hador* that a woman's natural inclination is to dress in the style that she thinks her husband truly desires, but she will not follow his lead if she feels that he is telling her to dress in a certain way only because the *rabbanim* have said so.

It is extremely difficult to find clothes that conform to all the rules of *tznius*, and a husband must be understanding of this difficulty and show his appreciation for his wife's efforts. Sometimes, a husband can influence his wife indirectly by encouraging his daughters to dress more modestly. In this way, his wife will not feel that his words are directed specifically at her.

Of course, none of the above can provide exact guidance for any specific situation. In every individual case, it is proper for the husband to consult with a *talmid chacham* who fears Hashem and is experienced in dealing with *shalom bayis*, who can guide him in the best way to make progress while maintaining an atmosphere in the home of mutual understanding and respect, along with a shared desire to come close to Hashem.

For comments, please contact Meir Weinstock at Rechov Birchas Avraham 22, Yerushalayim.